# THE NEW ZEALAND PASSERINE LIST: WHAT IF SIBLEY & AHLQUIST ARE RIGHT?

## By R. N. HOLDAWAY

# INTRODUCTION

Application of the technique of DNA-DNA hybridization to avian systematics has provoked much discussion and controversy (e.g., Feduccia & Olson 1982; Cracraft 1987; Sibley *et al.* 1987). This discussion is topical for New Zealand workers because several papers published by the chief proponents of the technique in avian systematics, C. G. Sibley and J. E. Ahlquist, have dealt with New Zealand birds. Indeed, two of their calibration points for relating rate of nucleotide sequence evolution to time are based on presumed events in the histories of the ratites, including the kiwis (Apterygidae) (Sibley & Ahlquist 1981), and of the New Zealand wrens (Acanthisittidae) (Sibley *et al.* 1982; Sibley & Ahlquist 1983). Although the assumptions involved in using these groups to calibrate the technique are also examples of some of its weaknesses, results of DNA-DNA hybridization studies could have substantial implications for the systematics of New Zealand birds.

The DNA-DNA hybridization method as applied to avian systematics has been evaluated by Houde (1987), who pointed out several difficulties with the rationale and presentation of results, but concluded that the method had considerable promise for elucidating systematic relationships below the level of Order. This is the level at which conventional techniques have encountered most difficulties. The problems, including the assumption of constant rate of genome evolution between groups, and presentation of tables of linear comparisons rather than complete data matrices, should not be overstated to the extent that the potential value of the method, if used carefully, is not fully exploited.

The purpose of this paper is not to discuss the details of the method or its problems but to apply the results of Sibley & Ahlquist's work on passerines of the Australasian region to the New Zealand passerine list. The list presented here includes the introduced species to give an indication of the radical changes in passerine systematics proposed by the authors.

The 'conventional' higher classification of the passerines has many weaknesses, particularly in such 'hold-all' families as the Muscicapidae, and this has been recognised for many years (Mayr & Amadon 1951: 14; Wetmore 1960: 16). The classification of the Australo-Papuan passerines given by Sibley & Ahlquist (1985) represents a series of new hypotheses on the relationships of many species. At the very least, their results can provide the basis for more critical studies (using conventional comparative anatomy, behaviour, biochemisty, and cladistics) of the relationships of New Zealand passerines.

NOTORNIS 35: 63-70 (1988)

Apart from the early (and some very recent) work on anatomy, much avian taxonomy in Australasia has been based on external morphological characters and on the assumption (for example, by Mayr 1944) that most of the species in the Australian and New Zealand avifaunas resulted from repeated waves of colonisation from the north by groups which evolved in the Northern Hemisphere. Sibley & Ahlquist interpret their DNA-DNA hybridisation results as suggesting that some 'northern' groups had Australasian origins and that there has been considerable convergent evolution into similar niches. For example, the 'Australasian flycatchers' seem to be an artificial assemblage, none of which are particularly closely related to the Old World flycatchers with which they are placed in the New Zealand checklist. Some of Sibley & Ahlquist's (1987a) results suggest changes which may be necessary regardless of whether the classification itself is accepted; they include the submergence of *Finschia* in *Mohoua* and of *Bowdleria* in *Megalurus*.

The callaeatids, for which no data are yet available, and *Turnagra* are listed at the end as *incertae sedis*. Other departures from the 1970 Checklist (Kinsky 1970) are explained in footnotes.

The following list is not a formal checklist — it is an application of Sibley & Ahlquist's results to the New Zealand passerine fauna. All lists and classifications are explicit hypotheses on relationships within and between groups and must, perforce, be modified as knowledge increases. Stability of nomenclature is important, but the quest of stability should not become an obsession which inhibits the healthy questioning of opinion and dogma or the legitimate testing of hypotheses. If the list stimulates discussion of, and serious work on, the relationships, origins, and evolution of New Zealand passerines, it will have served its purpose.

LIST OF THE NEW ZEALAND PASSERINES, BASED ON THE RESULTS OF

SIBLEY & AHLQUIST'S WORK ON AUSTRALO-PAPUAN AND NEW ZEALAND

BIRDS

#### Order Passeriformes

Suborder \*Tyranni (Suboscines)

Infraorder Acanthisittides

Family Acanthisittidae

Acanthisitta chloris (Sparrman, 1787)

Xenicus longipes (Gmelin, 1789)

Xenicus gilviventris Pelzeln, 1867

Traversia lyalli Rothschild, 18941

Suborder Polymyodi (\*Passeri)

Parvorder \*Corvida

Superfamily Meliphagoidea

### N.Z. PASSERINE LIST

Family Meliphagidae Notiomystis cineta (Du Bus, 1839) Anthornis melanura (Sparrman, 1786) Anthochaera carunculata (White, 1790) Prosthemadera novaeseelandiae (Gmelin, 1788) Family \*Pardalotidae Subfamily Acanthizinae Gerygone igata (Quoy & Gaimard, 1830) Gerygone albofrontata Gray, 1844 Superfamily Corvoidea Family Eopsaltriidac Petroica macrocephala (Gmelin, 1789) Petroica australis (Sparrman, 1788) Petroica traversi (Buller, 1872) Family Corvidae Subfamily Pachycephalinae Tribe Mohouini<sup>2</sup> Mohoua ochrocephala (Gmelin, 1789) Mohoua albicilla (Lesson, 1830) Mohoua novaeseelandiae (Gmelin, 1789) Subfamily \*Dicrurinac Tribe Rhipidurini Rhipidura fuliginosa (Sparrman, 1787) Tribe Monarchini Mylagra cyanoleuca, Vicillot, 1818 Subfamily Corvinac Tribe Corvini Corvus moriorum Forbes, 18923 Corvus frugilegus Linnaeus, 1758 Tribe \*Artamini Artamus personatus (Gould, 1841) Artamus superciliosus (Gould, 1837) Gymnorhina tibicen (Latham, 1801) Tribe Orcolini Coracina novachollandiae (Gmelin, 1789) Lalage sucurii (Vicillot, 1818)

Parvorder \*Passerida Superfamily \*Muscicapoidea Family \*Muscicapidae Subfamily Turdinac Turdus philomelos Brehm, 1831 Turdus merula Linnacus, 1758 Family Sturnidae Tribe Sturnini Sturnus vulgaris Linnacus, 1758 Acridotheres tristis (Linnaeus, 1766) Superfamily Sylvioidea Family Hirundinidae Hylochelidon nigricans (Vieillot, 1817) Hirundo tahitica Gmelin, 1789 Cecropis ariel (Gould, 1843) Family Pycnonotidae Pycnonotus cafer (Linnaeus, 1766) Family Zosteropidae Zosterops lateralis (Latham, 1801) Family Sylviidae Subfamily Megalurinae Megalurus punctatus (Quoy & Gaimard, 1830) Superfamily \*Passeroidea Family Alaudidae Alauda arvensis Linnacus, 1758 Family \*Passeridae Subfamily Passerinac Passer domesticus (Linnacus, 1758) Subfamily Motacillinac Anthus novaescelandiae (Gmelin, 1789) Subfamily Pruncllinac

Prunclla modularis (Linnacus, 1758)

Family Fringillidae

Subfamily Fringillinae

Tribe Fringillini

Fringilla\_coelebs Linnaeus, 1758

Tribe Carduelini

Carduelis chloris (Linnaeus, 1758)

Carduelis carduelis Linnaeus, 1758

Carduclis flammea (Linnaeus, 1758)

Subfamily Emberizinae

Emberiza citrinella Linnacus, 1758

Emberiza cirlus Linnaeus, 1766

Incertae sedis

'Callacatidae'

Philesturnus carunculatus (Gmelin, 1789)4

Heteralocha acutirostris (Gould, 1837)

Callacas cinerca (Gmelin, 1788)

'Turnagridae'

Turnagra capensis (Sparrman, 1787)

Turnagra tanagra (Schlegel, 1865)5

\*Sibley & Ahlquist (1987b) list several changes to category names used in Sibley & Ahlquist (1985). For convenience, the changes relevant to the above list are (1985 names in parenthesis): Tyranni (Oligomyodi); Passeri (Passeres); Corvida (Corvi); Pardalotidae (Acanthizidae); Dicrurinae (Monarchinae); Artamini (Cracticini); Passerida (Muscicapae); Muscicapoidea (Turdoidea); Muscicapidae (Turdoidea); Passeridae (Fringilloidea); Passeridae (Ploceidae).

<sup>1</sup>Traversia was in general use before 1950 — e.g. Oliver (1930), Marples (1946), Mathews (1946), but not Buller (e.g. 1896), who persisted with his own nomenclature. The 1953 Checklist (Fleming 1953) lumped Traversia with Xenicus without comment or justification of the change other than a general statement in the preamble that the "list reflects the contemporary tendency to use broad genera". This policy seems to have been applied somewhat arbitrarily because "... the committee decided by majority vote to retain certain endemic monotypic genera in spite of their affinity with extralimital genera." The 1970 Checklist retained Xenicus, again without comment. The last systematic treatment (Oliver 1955) retained Traversia; this usage is followed here. Mayr (1979) followed the New Zealand Checklist, without comment.

<sup>2</sup>Sibley & Ahlquist (1987a).

<sup>3</sup>As originally described by Forbes. Further study is necessary before *Palaeocorax* Forbes, 1893 can be accepted as a valid taxon.

<sup>4</sup>Amadon (1962) used *Creadion*. The synonymy given suggests that the New Zealand Checklist is in error in retaining *Philesturnus*.

<sup>31</sup> follow Olson *et al.* (1983) in recognising two species of *Turnagra*; their evidence for this is convincing, but their reasons for placing the genus in the Ptilonorhynchidae are less so. Similarly, the differences between the palates of the two forms of *Callaeas* (Oliver 1945) also argue for their separation as species.

### COMPARISON WITH THE 1970 CHECKLIST

The main differences between the classification proposed by Sibley & Ahlquist and that followed by the 1970 Checklist are summarised below.

The Acanthisittidae is placed in its own infraorder of suborder Tyranni. This family has usually been placed with the suboscines. The latest morphological study, that by Raikow (1987) of the hindlimb myology, suggests that the Acanthisittidae was a very early branch of the oscines. This is, itself, at variance with results of studies of other single morphological features, such as the syrinx.

The Alaudidae retains familial status but is grouped with what are regarded as more 'advanced' groups in the Checklist order, the silvereyes (Zosteropidae) and sparrows (Ploceidae, now Passeridae), among others. The passerids *sensu* Sibley & Ahlquist are an amalgam of the Motacillidae (pipits), Prunellidae (accentors), and Ploceidae (sparrows and weavers). The Hirundinidae remains intact, but it too moves to near the more 'advanced' groups, in parvorder Passerida. Three families, the Campephagidae, Cracticidae, and Corvidae, represented here principally by vagrants and introduced species, are reduced to tribes of the new, very broad, family Corvidae. The Pycnonotidae, Zosteropidae, and Sturnidae retain their family rank, in parvorder Passerida; the Meliphagidae becomes a family of parvorder Corvida.

The remaining Checklist families are treated rather harshly by Sibley & Ahlquist's analysis. For example, the Muscicapidae is rent asunder, the Sylviinae and Turdinae being elevated to family rank in the Passerida (as Sylviidae and a newly defined Muscicapidae, respectively) and the Malurinae and Muscicapinae vanishing entirely. Part of the present Malurinae (*Gerygone*) is put in the family Pardalotidae, parvorder Corvida, while the remainder (*Mohoua*, with which *Finschia* is synonymised) is placed in subfamily Pachycephalinae of the new Corvidae. Similarly, *Petroica* becomes part of the new family Eopsaltriidae (Australian robins) while *Rhipidura* is placed with the monarch flycatchers in subfamily Dicrurinae of the new Corvidae. The Emberizidae is reduced to a subfamily of the Fringillidae, and the Carduelidae drops to tribal rank. The callaeatids and *Turnagra* remain *incertae sedis*, but data from *Philesturnus* should allow at least the Callaeatidae to find a place in the system.

The major features of relevance to the New Zealand list are the dismemberment of the old Muscicapidae (and so recognising the southern radiations of flycatcher-like birds strongly convergent with, but unrelated to, the Northern Hemisphere flycatcher/thrush/warbler assemblage) and the broad conception of the family Corvidae (which suggests a wide radiation into many different niches by groups with a close phylogenetic history).

The Sibley & Ahlquist classification provides explicit hypotheses of relationships between the families of the Passeriformes. This feature is lacking in present classifications, in all but the broadest sense provided by proximity in a linear arrangement. Some of the new placements, such as *Rhipidura* in the Corvidae and *Anthus* with the sparrows (Passeridae), are certain to raise eyebrows, and some scorn, but such hypotheses should be tested and not just rejected out of hand. Our present understanding of relationships is too meagre for us to be dogmatic. The suggestion of two major lines of oscine evolution is a radical departure from the status que and is certain to arouse controversy; it should also provide a basis for further research.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank Mike Winterbourn and Richard Rowe for helpful and constructive criticism of early drafts of the manuscript. I thank Mike Fitzgerald for a thoughtful and penetrating review which curbed the excesses of enthusiasm, and for bringing Raikow's paper and Sibley & Ahlquist's name changes to my attention.

### LITERATURE CITED

- AMADON, D. 1962. Family Callaeidae. Pages 157-159 in Mayr, E.; Greenway, J. C. jr. (ed.) Check-list of Birds of the World, Vol. XV. Cambridge, Mass.: Museum of Comparative Zoology.
- BULLER, W. L. 1896. Notes on New Zealand ornithology, with an exhibition of specimens. Trans. NZ Inst. 28: 326-358.
- CRACRAFT, J. 1987. DNA hybridization and avian phylogenetics. Pages 47-95 in Hecht, M. K.; Wallace, B.; Prance, G. T. (ed.) Evolutionary Biology, vol. 21. New York and London: Plenum Press
- FEDUCCIA, A.; OLSON, S. L. 1982. Morphological similarities between the Menurae and the Rhinocryptidae, relict passerine birds of the Southern Hemisphere. Smiths. Contr. Zool. 366: 1-22
- FLEMING, C. A. (convener). 1953. Checklist of New Zealand Birds. Wellington: Reed.
- HOUDE, P. 1987, Critical evaluation of DNA hybridization studies in avian systematics. Auk 104 (1): 17-32.
- KINSKY, F. C. (convener). 1970. Annotated Checklist of the Birds of New Zealand. Wellington: Reed
- MARPLES, B. J. 1946. List of the birds of New Zealand. NZ Bird Notes 1 (suppl.). 7 pp.
- MATHEES, D. 1940. Elst of the ondo of New Zealand. New Dird stores 1 (dupps), 7 pp. Matheews, G. M. A working list of New Zealand birds. In Mathews, G. M. A working list of Australian birds including the Australian Quadrant and New Zealand, pp. 151-175 (1-25). Sydney: Shepherd & Newman.
- MAYR, E. 1944. Timor and the colonization of Australia by birds. Emu 44: 113-130.
- MAYR, E. 1979. Family Acanthisittidae. Pages 331-333 in Traylor, M. A jr. (ed.) Check-list of Birds of the World, Vol. VIII. Cambridge, Mass.: Museum of Comparative Zoology.
- MAYR, E.; AMADON, D. 1951. A classification of Recent birds. American Museum Novitates 1496. 42 pp.
- OLIVER, W. R. B. 1930. New Zealand Birds. Wellington: Fine Arts. OLIVER, W. R. B. 1945. Avian evolution in Australia and New Zealand, Part II. Emu 45: 119-152.
- OLIVER, W. R. B. 1955. New Zealand Birds (2nd ed.). Wellington: Reed.
- OLSON, S. L.; PARKES, K. C.; CLENCH, M. H.; BORECKY, S. R. 1983. The affinities of the New Zealand passerine genus *Turnagra*. Notornis 30 (4): 319-336. RAIKOW, R. 1987. Hindlimb myology and evolution of the Old World Suboscine passerine birds
- (Acanthisittidae, Pittidae, Philepittidae, Eurylaimidae.) Ornithological Monographs, no. 41.
- SIBLEY, C. G.; AHLQUIST, J. E. 1981. The phylogeny and relationships of the ratite birds as indicated by DNA-DNA hybridization. Pages 301-335 in G. G. E. Scudder; J. L. Reveal, ed. Evolution Today, Proc 2nd Intl congr Syst evol Biol. Pittsburgh: Hunt Inst Botanic Document, Carnegie-Mellon Univ.
- SIBLEY, C. G.; AHLQUIST, J. E. 1983. Phylogeny and classification of birds based on the data of DNA-DNA hybridization. Pages 245-292 in R. F. Johnston, ed. Current Ornithology, Vol. 1. New York: Plenum Press
- SIBLEY, C. G.; AHLQUIST, J. E. 1985. The phylogeny and classification of the Australo-Papuan DELEY, C. G.; AHLQUIST, J. E. 1987. The phytogeny and classification of the Australo-Papuan passerine birds. Emu 85(1); 1-14.SIBLEY, C. G.; AHLQUIST, J. E. 1987a. The relationships of four species of New Zealand passerine birds. Emu 87(1): 63-66.
- SIBLEY, C. G.; AHLQUIST, J. E. 1987b. The Lesser Melampitta is a Bird of Paradise. Emu
- 87(1): 66-68.
  SIBLEY, C. G.; AHLQUIST, J. E.; SHELDON, F. H. 1987, DNA hybridization and avian phylogenetics: a reply to Cracraft. Pages 47-95 in Hecht, M. K.; Wallace, B.; Prance, G. T. (ed.) Evolutionary Biology, vol. 21. New York and London: Plenum Press.

 SIBLEY, C. G.; WILLIAMS, G. R.; AHLQUIST, J. E. 1982. The relationships of the N. w Zealand wrens (Acanthisittidae) as indicated by DNA—DNA hybridization. Notornis 29: 115-130.
 WETMORE, A. 1960. A classification of the birds of the world. Smiths. Misc. Coll. 139 (11): 1-37.

### RICHARD N. HOLDAWAY, Department of Zoology, University of Canterbury, Private Bag, Christchurch 1

#### NOTE ADDED IN PROOF

In their Introduction to Vol. XI of the Check-list of Birds of the World, Mayr and Cottrell point out that "it had long been suspected that the Australian warblers and flycatchers had no relationship to the Sylviidac and Muscicapidac ... [but] ... in the absence of positive distinguishing characters and uncertainty as to their allocation, they were generally left with the Afro-Eurasian families. In recent years, however, the artificiality of this arrangement was so apparent that it became customary to recognize two indigenous families for the Australian warblers, Maluridae and Acanthizidae, one family for the monarch flycatchers (Monarchidae), a sub-taxon for the fantail flycatchers (Rhipidurinae), and a family for the Australasian robins (Eopsaltriidae)." In the apparent absence of diagnostic characters, but noting that "future modifications of this scheme are not precluded", Mayr and Cottrell have adopted "the scheme of branching pattern suggested by Sibley ... We regard it as a secure basis for future research."

The New Zealand species covered by this volume (Mayr, 1986) are the fernbird, the grey and Chatham Island warblers, the whitehead, vellowhead and brown creeper, the fantail, and the tomtits and robins. The fernbird is placed, as Megalurus punctatus, in the Sylviidae; the warblers as Gerygone igata and G. albofrontata in the subfamily Acanthizinae of the Acanthizidae. Finschia is retained and placed, with Mohoua, as subfamily Mohouinae of the Acanthizidae (albicilla is treated as a subspecies of ochrocephala); Rhipidura is placed in the subfamily Rhipidurinae of the Monarchidae; and the Petroicas are included in the Eopsaltriidae. [In a footnote, Mayr notes that Sibley (in MS, since published as Sibley et al., see above) synonymises Mohoua and Finschia and considers them to be Pachycephalines.] Therefore, although the check-list editors express support for the DNA-DNA hybridization results, and the branching patterns in particular, their classification remains substantially the same as it would have been if recent custom had been followed. This was probably to avoid the considerable disruption that recognition of the revised families would have entailed. and the present treatment does, at least, express the independent evolutionary history of many Australasian passerines. After 55 years, the check-list series is complete; perhaps it is nearly time to start again.

#### LITERATURE CITED

MAYR, E.; COTTRELL, G.W. (eds.) 1986. Check-list of Birds of the World, Vol. XI. (Sylviidae, Muscicapidae (sensu stricto), Maluridae, Acanthizidae, Monarchidae, Eopsaltriidae). Cambridge, Massachusetts: Museum of Comparative Zoology.